

Stephen Wolfram's right and Freeman Dyson is wrong.

Not everyone is one hundred percent right all the time.

In his thousand page "*A New Kind of Science*", Stephen Wolfram may not be one hundred percent right either but I am going to bet that he will be proven mostly right and that Freeman Dyson will be proven absolutely wrong for saying Stephen Wolfram's book is worthless.

Like Stephen Wolfram, I too have been saying that there is indeed a simple model and a few simple rules behind the way this entire universe works.

Like Stephen Wolfram, I too have been warning about this unwarranted belief that our math will give us the answer as to how this entire universe of ours works.

I've given my opinion here after reading Stephen Wolfram's entire book.

It is my understanding that Freeman Dyson gave his opinion after only reading a small part of "*A New Kind of Science*".

If Stephen Wolfram and I are both correct then **binary stars of the same mass will be found to have opposite spins** and this is something that should shock Freeman Dyson and knock him from his lofty position as self appointed high priest of science.

You and I and Stephen Wolfram only have to wait and see.

When astronomers find this to be true then all of us will know that **Stephen Wolfram's right and Freeman Dyson is wrong.**

<http://www.amperefitz.com/lawrm.htm>

[Over 4 Decades of Daniel P. Fitzpatrick's Books, Papers and Thoughts](#)

Over 4 Decades of Fitzpatrick's Books, Papers & Thoughts <http://www.amperefitz.com/4.decades.htm>

