

To: Charles Scott,

Charles Scott, you were absolutely right, long ago, about the neutron being the first particle and your present galaxy creation hypothesis (below) is outstandingly relevant, today, as well.

How do I know this?

Because all the forces are easily unified once you see it all as relative MOTION that is viewed - not from one particular (*at rest*) reference frame, but from various (*at rest*) reference frames.

See, since you are not really *at rest* then other places, in the microcosm and macrocosm, must also be given equal consideration as to who is really *at rest*.

Even though you end up saying this has no scientific standing, none-the-less, what you really have here is "the perfect storm" to permanently unsettle present science.

Charles, all your concept needs, for this, is plenty of advertising.

I'll tell you why I say this:

I've worked in electronics all my life, obtaining all the necessary Federal licenses. This has led me to the conclusion that if we merely move to various, different (*at rest*) reference frames then we can see it, like Ampere did, as simply relative MOTION that is causing everything.

Ampere insisted that he explained magnetism, using his relative MOTION concept, long before Faraday explained it completely differently. In troubleshooting electronics, I have had to use both Ampere's and Faraday's entirely different style concepts. (If you want the big picture including the surroundings [Mach's principle] then Ampere was essentially right and Faraday was essentially wrong). This is especially true now that we know the electron has spin.

I now know, beyond any doubt, that our biggest fault is "our single reference frame viewpoint" that prevents us from seeing it correctly as one force and instead condemns us to seeing these incorrectly as different forces such as plus and minus charges and magnetic lines of force.

From a single reference frame viewpoint you get the false impression of four fundamental forces but in a various reference frame viewpoint you get the correct single force big picture of pure, unadulterated relative MOTION.

When viewed correctly, from various frequency spin/orbit reference frames, it is ALL nothing but relative **MOTION** - in different spacetime realms - **all obeying** nothing but Ampere's Laws

Feynman saw the importance of seeing it as **MOTION** too: (click this link)

<http://www.rbduncan.com/feynm1.htm>

So Charles, if it all can be boiled down to simply being **MOTION** then you really have cooked up "The Perfect Science Storm" here.

Fitz

--- In [cosmic-horizons@yahoogroups.com](mailto:cosmic-horizons@yahoogroups.com), "Charles Scott" <kopernik2@k...> wrote:

> Here it is - for everyone to read and criticize. However, the subject matter will not appeal to everyone.

- Written here is an excerpt, page 100, of

## ● "The Backside of the Universe"

- C. Scott's entire e-book is **FREE**.
- Simply click this red link below.

> <http://www.all-new-biz.com/id59.htm>

- Excerpt, page 100, of "The Backside of the Universe"

> The galaxy - now that's a "Perfect Storm".

>

> It's an easy task, due to the similarities of profile and mobility, to compare terrestrial storms with galaxies in the

bigger universe. A storm starts off as ill defined and raggedly.  
So does a

galaxy. Over warm water a storm

>

> NGC 3982, Credit: E.S.A. S. Smartt

>

> develops as a depression and begins to assume a roundish shape. The irregularly shaped young galaxy becomes elliptical in form at a comparable stage. When a storm reaches the hurricane category it is disk shaped with an 'eye' in the center surrounded by out-reaching in-spiraling arms. You could say the same for the mature spiral galaxy.

>

> The same physical forces fashion and motivate these two bodies. Magnetic and electric forces in the earthly storm act to prepare moisture in the (charged) air to become rain. The contrast between the heat from the ocean and the cool of the upper atmosphere completes the process. As moisture in the water vapor is squeezed out as torrents of rain, this creates a partial vacuum, which causes more water vapor to surge in at a high velocity. The in rushing air begins to spiral. The process of high condensation > rain > low pressure > in bound moist air accelerates the formation of the now hurricane. Electric and magnetic forces (within, above, and below the clouds) do their work. Gravity, from Earth, limits the profile and activities of all these catalytic forces.

>

> The parallels between earthly storms and galaxies is striking. Gravity and electromagnetic forces have everything to do with galactic morphology. (As described earlier.) These prompt, even impel, the formation of stars (precipitation) from mostly dark matter. With the rapid utilization of star making materials a vacuity develops which is answered by the in rush of more dark matter from outside the galaxy. As the size of the galaxy grows, due to rapid star formation, this influx accelerates, and the atmosphere of the galaxy begins to twist. Star formation within these spiraling 'arms' delineate the outlines of that inflow.

>

> As has been noted so many times in the observations of nature described here, the Creator has an "inordinate fondness" for certain patterns, and uses these over and over, each time with variation. We can indeed add spirals to that list . K2

>

> [Note that the concepts just described have no scientific standing.]

- Also see [Fitzpatrick's view](#) about Charles Scott's e-book "**Backside of the Universe**".