



A response to Frank Makinson

Frank,

You are absolutely correct!

What you have to do now is link up the basic dimensions with the E8 structure of matter and you win the Nobel prize.

Lisi called his E8 model SIMPLE because it is a model that is in only ONE spacetime realm - ours.

This may be a distinct advantage to us if E8 is the correct model and if we look at things a bit differently than we presently are doing.

We know we cannot see motion in the microcosm because it is a different spacetime realm from us. In fact it's TWO distinct spacetime realms: the realm of the electron - QED and the realm of the quark - QCD. Both are different spin/orbit frequency realms that use different rules and math from each other and also are entirely different from anything we use in our physical spacetime realm here.

But these are frequency realms, aren't they? All the quantum theorists know this and even Einstein stated gravity was a frequency. He even predicted it could be polarized such as light.

However, we are not seeing all those microcosm frequencies in our realm here. Most of those frequencies are far too high in frequency for us to detect them. Our realm will only accept a certain range or bandspread of frequencies.

BUT -- what if some of those frequencies make HARMONICS with ours and these harmonics DO enter our realm and help to make up part of Lisi's E8 model?

Think about the relevance of this! I haven't seen anyone, including Lisi, mention the possibility of matching up unmatched portions of E8 in this manner.

(I believe I'll make a web page of this correspondence, Frank, and put it out. I'll send you a link to it.)

Fitz

> Daniel P. Fitzpatrick Jr.

>

> The dimension on one triangle is known to be associated with a
> fundamental form of matter. I believe the basic dimensions defined by
> the methodology are related to the E8 structure of matter. Consider
> the

> dimensions on the frequency triangle as providing "energy dimensions."

> The dimensions can be scaled from very large to Planck level and
> smaller.

>

> A paper titled, "Methodology to Define Physical Constants Using
> Mathematical Constants" has been accepted by a peer reviewed IEEE
> publication.

>

> A postprint of the paper is available via my web page, it is 6 pages.

>

> <http://www.vip.ocsnet.net/~ancient/>

>

> or directly

>

<http://www.vip.ocsnet.net/~ancient/Constants-Version%20Postprint.pdf>

>

> Frank Makinson

>

>

For more about all this see: [A. G. Lisi's E8 Model](#)

Also read this: <http://www.amperefitz.com/lisiimp.htm>

Be sure to read: <http://www.amperefitz.com/acceleratingexpandinguniverse.htm>

See this short, clear picture: <http://www.amperefitz.com/principle-of-equivalence.htm>

Also <http://www.amperefitz.com/aphaseuniverse.htm>

And <http://www.rbduncan.com/schrod.htm>

There's a lot more too.

And this you can find out by buying my latest book Universities Asleep at the Switch at Amazon.com or by reading it FREE simply by clicking the following links:

<http://www.amperefitz.com/unvasleep.htm> (This link is faster if you have dial up.)

http://www.amperefitz.com/ua_20071020_ck_ds_jm_ds.pdf (This is the book FREE in Adobe.).

Web pages are at: <http://www.amperefitz.com> & <http://www.rbduncan.com>

[Over 4 Decades of Daniel P. Fitzpatrick's Books, Papers and Thoughts](#)

Thanks for reading this. Let me know what YOU think. e-mail is Th1nker@indiainfo.com

This page can be copied and published by anyone as long as it is copied and published in its entirety.

August 14, 2010

[Daniel P. Fitzpatrick Jr.](#)