

E xpanding U niverse R eligion

cosimoblue stated:

>>Personally, I never thought Dr. Hubble did Dr. Einstein any favours.>>

Hubble DID discover the red shift but NEVER associated it with an expanding universe.

Quite the contrary, he WARNED against doing so.

It was [Lemaitre](#) who, year after year kept after Einstein pushing it.

Einstein kept telling him he was wrong until during one of the [Lemaitre](#) lectures, Einstein recognized a factor that [Lemaitre](#) presented that seemed to destroy Einstein's cosmological argument and Einstein turned on a dime and applauded [Lemaitre](#) .

It made the headlines "**Einstein's Biggest Blunder**" and ushered in the era of the expanding universe.

[George Gamow](#) took what we subsequently learned about the atom and destroyed [Lemaitre](#)'s math and replaced it with his own and [George Gamow](#) then took over as head expansionist actually predicting the CMBR.

Bob [Dicke](#) looked for the CMBR and found some Bell scientists had already discovered it but did not understand the importance of what they had found. [Dicke](#), who knew, then arranged for ALL of them to publish together telling what ALL of them had found and [Dicke](#) took part credit for discovering the CMBR and then---hard to believe---said he never even knew about any CMBR prediction of [George Gamow](#).

During all this Fred [Hoyle](#) stood steady as a rock---like his British compatriot Wellington at Waterloo. Fred [Hoyle](#) never wavered with his steady state universe but now made it oscillate so as to incorporate some of the popular elements of what the expansionists were expounding.

[Fitz](#)

[web page](#)

[Fitzpatrick's First Book \(FREE\)](#)

[Fitzpatrick's view](#)

[motion](#)

[Theory of Everything Group](#)

[Limits to Logic](#)

*a NEW Science Tool**Over 4 Decades of Daniel P. Fitzpatrick's Books, Papers and Thoughts*

Over 4 Decades of Fitzpatrick's Books, Papers & Thoughts

<http://www.amperefitz.com/4.decades.htm>

--- In TheoryOfEverything@yahoogroups.com, "cosimobblue" <cosimobblue@y...> wrote:

>

> > There is just one other possibility, and that is if the the electron

> has

> > a finite size which has shrunk by 42 orders of magnitude since its

> > creation. This implies some link twixt the size of the electron and the

> > expansion of the universe in some unknown inverse relation. (See Tony

> > Bermanseder's QR hypothesis, and maybe it's not so unknown after all).

> > This is the view I personally favour as it can happen independently of

> > known quantum processes and does not affect known empirical facts.

>

> Folks are so hung up on expansion that they ignore the inverse.

>

> Or over emphasize one of its aspects (such the CERN Hairsplitteratron...)

>

> ... but if what you say is true, when the photons were created, the

> electrons were smaller, and it took a larger distance to radiate
the
> same frequency of energy... or we would see the frequency as
> elongating... either way this leads you down the slippery slope of
> questioning some of Hubble's assumptions.
>
> Personally, I never thought Dr. Hubble did Dr. Einstein any
favours.