

**Fitzpatrick's** 1966 book showed  
the **relative motion** laws of **A. Ampère** unified the forces.

[Fitz's first book in 1966](#)

[Fitz's 1966 book in Word](#)

[Fitz's 1966 book in PDF](#)



**SHEDDING SOME LIGHT ON**

**A SIMPLER**

**ELECTRON SPIN PRECESSION CONCEPT**

**FITZPATRICK'S**

**NEW CONCEPT**

© 2019

**S. A. Goudsmit and G. E. Ulenbeck showed  
us the electron had spin in 1925.**

Then we learned the electron also had precession.

But, this presented a problem because there is no gravity in the microcosm to cause precession.

So it was not considered true precession.

Here's a link showing you the frequency aspect of Electron Spin Precession.

[\*Frequency explanation of Electron Spin Precession\*](#)

It's not simple.

Once we understand the concepts of André Ampère, Albert Einstein, and Milo Wolff, then we comprehend microcosm and macrocosm well enough to finally see the **fundamental forces** are simple.

After we see everything spinning in both micro and macro worlds, and we look at PHASE and the **SCALAR** Concept of Dr. Milo Wolff — a NASA scientist who helped get us to the moon — we get closer to the simple answer of how this *ENTIRE* micro and macro universe works.

And it's not quite the way the majority of today's scientists think it works.

Let's start off first with André Ampère.

About fifty years ago *Scientific American* showed exactly what Ampère did, and as I read the article I saw I was right in assuming that it was all **relative motion** (phase), and not electrical or magnetic fields.

Ampère's **relative motion** (phase) law — **was so simple** — that it did not even require the fictional plus and minus charges, north and south poles and lines of force that the Faraday-Maxwell field theory did.

It was quite a few years after I read that article about Ampère that I realized that Ampère had not only given us the attraction and repulsion **relative motion** law for spinning electrons, but he had given us the **relative motion** (phase) law for all attractive and repulsive forces BETWEEN every spinning **SCALAR** entity in this entire universe: **these are the ONLY fundamental forces!**

For **SCALAR** entities we go to Milo Wolff.

Milo wrote a book called *The Wave structure of Matter* in which he showed us this seems to be a frequency world in the macrocosm too, but we fail to see it as such.

Milo said we do see these **SCALAR** spherical, standing wave entities in both microcosm and macrocosm that this universe seems to build — from small to large — such as

quarks, electrons, planets, stars, galaxies whoops, I went too far.

But if we could live long enough then maybe we would even see these galaxies precessing around far enough to resemble one of Milo's **SCALAR** spherical entities.

And if we could live even longer than that, maybe we could even see some super-clusters of galaxies precessing around long enough to become some of Milo's **SCALAR** spherical entities.

If you can visualize this, then you can see why we see the higher frequency items and their precession motion as frequencies and things such as galaxies as sort of — frozen in time — not having time enough yet to precess completely around to make a complete sphere or **SCALAR** spherical entity.

Scientists, today, have totally missed the supreme importance of — the **precessing cycle** of time — needed to produce a **scalar** resonance.

Yet this *precessing* — **to make one full precessing cycle, to appear more like a sphere** — gives the *resonance* reason for Wolff's **scalar resonance**, **or what we see as nature's preferred size**, in both micro and macro spacetime realms, and this is certainly the reason the iron molecule is the preferred **scalar** molecular *resonance* after fission or fusion energy.

REMEMBER:

1. SPIN is in both micro and macro worlds.
2. SPIN PRECESSION is in both worlds too.
3. **SCALAR** Entities are in both worlds.
4. Ampère's Law gives attractive & repulsive FORCES in both worlds.
- 4a. **SCALAR** entities **in-phase, attract** in both micro and macro worlds.
- 4b. **SCALAR** entities **out-of-phase, repel** in both microcosm and macrocosm.
5. **SCALAR** entity forces (above) are the ONLY **fundamental forces** in this entire universe.

And when you say this then **you have eliminated gravity, as a fundamental force haven't you?**

However, gravitational force is caused by a single **SCALAR** entity. Which entity?

I've answered that in other papers already. You should be able to figure it out yourself once you know all electron forces happen at the speed of light, and that NASA says the **slowest** speed gravity could be happening would be 20 billion times the speed of light ( $2 \times 10^{10}c$ ) [\*Van Flandern\*](#).

Now watch how the **SCALAR** entities of BOTH electrons & stars behave using ONLY Ampère's Law — remembering both have precession.

Look at totally free, same size items first.

They must always end up repelling themselves. **WHY?**  
Because each time they are **in-phase attracting**, an equal 90 degree **out-of-phase repelling precession** force in **both** is moving **both** of them away from any **in-phase attractive** position.

But this only works for same size/mass entities that have EQUAL precession and are totally free.

This won't work for molecular electrons because they are not totally free and are harmonically bound to the down quark's spin frequency.

Our galaxy is being drawn to the Andromeda galaxy because of its larger size/mass.

The precession force always must be equal for both, to remain free of each other, freedom by constantly repelling each other via their 90 degree precession forces in each spinning **SCALAR** entity.

Use Ampère's **relative motion** Law, that works inside BOTH microcosm & macrocosm to greatly simplify things that today are only seen by the complicated frequency math seen in the "frequency" link (above).

I was fixing radios well before high school. By the time I was in high school, in 1946, I was putting together a **relative motion concept** that eventually showed me **why electrons were behaving the way they were 100% of the time where Benjamin Franklin's plus and minus charges**

and the Faraday-Maxwell magnetic lines of force only were explaining it about 80% of the time.

This is a competitive world, and my ***relative motion concept*** gave me a distinct advantage solving important technical problems years later as I used my 1<sup>st</sup> Class Radio license with RADAR endorsement #P1-7-13647.

I'll never forget one day in 1965 or very early in 1966: while working on a RADAR problem, and after solving the problem, I solved something else too, I saw that I was **not** being **attracted** to the Earth by something called gravity.

**I was being attracted to the Earth because I was traveling in-phase with the Earth, on the same *relative motion* path as the Earth, compared to the *relative motion* of the surrounding stars.**

**On that day in 1965 or early 1966, I discovered Ampère's Law worked in the macrocosm too, exactly like I knew it did in the microcosm.**

I have never forgotten that day because that was the most important thing I have ever discovered in my entire life: **a few months ago that same concept showed me it's Einstein's Cosmological Constant that gives us our spacetime.**

And it's a simple ***relative motion concept*** (phase) relationship that gives us Einstein's Cosmological Constant.

What amazes me most of all is that even though I've been telling this to people all over the world — for decades on my two websites [rbduncan.com](http://rbduncan.com) and [amperefitz.com](http://amperefitz.com) — I've had absolutely no competition since 1946, when I realized — from using Alnico magnets, that could only be magnetized in one direction — that **more** electron spin *relative motion in-phase*, caused **more** magnetic *attractive force* in the microcosm.

Twenty years after that I saw and published the fact that it was *relative motion* causing all the forces in both microcosm and macrocosm.

I am still solving major science problems, using *relative motion (phase)* at 86 years of age.

Modern physics is wrong! Einstein told us that in 1954.

"I consider it quite possible that physics cannot be based on the **field concept**, i.e., on continuous structures. In that case, nothing remains of my entire castle in the air, gravitation theory included, [and of] the rest of **modern physics**." *Albert Einstein*.

If you click one of those links at the top of this page, then you will see my proof that **field theory** has actually prevented us from seeing what is causing — not only electron spin precession — but all precession.

The fundamental forces are all caused via relative motion or phase.

It's as simple as that!



## That's enough science for today.

My biggest problem, was solved two days ago; it was not a science problem, though.

A girl — almost two decades younger than me — who did my WebPages and got me my domain names, kept them in her name then died.

Only the owner of a domain name can pay to renew it for more years.

If you think it was easy to change names, you had better think again. It took a year and a half to resolve, and my attorney's fee of \$2,000 was a **small fraction** of the total cost.

LINKS TO THIS PAGE:

THIS PAGE in htm: - <http://amperefitz.com/espinp.htm>

Also, THIS PAGE in Word: - <http://amperefitz.com/espinp.doc>

And THIS PAGE in Adobe pdf: - <http://amperefitz.com/espinp.pdf>

To read more about what is really going on in our universe click the following links.

SIMPLE in htm: - <http://amperefitz.com/simple.htm>

Also, SIMPLE in Word: - <http://amperefitz.com/simple.doc>

And SIMPLE in Adobe pdf: - <http://amperefitz.com/simple.pdf>

An Important Matter seen by Crichton in htm: - [crichton.htm](http://crichton.htm)

Also, Crichton in Word: - [crichton.doc](#)

And also, Crichton in Adobe pdf: - [crichton.pdf](#)

Field Theory DATE: October 29, 2018..

Field Theory in html: - <http://amperefitz.com/fieldtheory.html>

Also, Field Theory in Word: - <http://amperefitz.com/fieldtheory.doc>

And Field Theory in Adobe pdf: -  
<http://amperefitz.com/fieldtheory.pdf>

Field Theory was taken from the larger 3 Beliefs Paper.

3 Beliefs in htm: - <http://amperefitz.com/3beliefs.htm>

Also, 3 Beliefs in Word: - <http://amperefitz.com/3beliefs.doc>

And 3 Beliefs in Adobe pdf: - <http://amperefitz.com/3beliefs.pdf>

[DPFJr](#)

[a unification](#)

[QED](#)

[web page](#)

[Order a copy of "Universities Asleep at the Switch" \*\*NOW\*\*.](#)

[Read "Universities Asleep at the Switch" \*\*FREE\*\* in html.](#)

[Read "Universities Asleep at the Switch" \*\*FREE\*\* in ADOBE \(slower to load\).](#)

Over 4 Decades of Fitzpatrick's Books, Papers & Thoughts: <http://www.amperefitz.com/4.decades.htm>

And here's this page duplicated in Adobe.pdf:

<http://www.amperefitz.com/4.decades.pdf>

Anyone may copy and paste this complete presentation to their web page providing they paste it in its entirety.

*Daniel P. Fitzpatrick, Jr.*

February 10, 2019

If any of your work seems to correlate to my findings then please write to me at:

Daniel P. Fitzpatrick Apt. 329

Belmont Village

4310 Bee Cave Road

West Lake Hills, TX 78746

***Send me your e-mail.***