

Einstein's Most Important Discovery

. . . . shows why

This appears to be a Dystopian Universe

Einstein's most important discovery was not $E=mc^2$ or relativity. It was what he discovered even later!

In 1954, about a year before he died, Einstein wrote, "*I consider it quite possible that physics cannot be based on the field concept, i.e., on continuous structures. In that case, nothing remains of my entire castle in the air, gravitation theory included, [and of] the rest of modern physics.*"

Einstein, back then, was telling us that this whole concept of fields, while being of immense importance if held within strict and narrow parameters, was probably absolutely worthless when trying to figure out this entire universe.

What is so amazing is that almost no one listened to what Einstein said back then in 1954.

Scientists are still using the field concept today to try to figure out what really is going on in this universe of ours over 50 years after Einstein emphatically told them it most probably cannot be done.

This is why, as Einstein discovered when we use field concepts, we cannot see our universe as a simple utopian universe. We see it instead as a universe we cannot understand. We see it as a Dystopian Universe.

Not only have scientists wasted their time with the field concept but they have spent hundreds of millions, perhaps even billions, of dollars doing so.

What is crystal clear now, in this day and age, is that both space and these invisible forces come about because of the spin frequencies of these Spinning, Scalar, Standing Wave, Resonances that nature uses to build our entire universe.

These **SSSWRs** were discovered, and mathematically proven, by [Dr. Milo Wolff](#).

It is not the field concept by which you can see this but it can be clearly seen by using the Laws Ampere gave us in the early 1800s.

My estimate is that only a few thousand people on earth see this today.

And I would say that the people who know exactly why Ampere's Laws work are even fewer in number.

Einstein put words to something Newton understood: It's called The *principle of equivalence*. You cannot discern gravity from an acceleration.

In other words: if you are weightless in a spaceship far from earth and that spaceship begins to accelerate at a speed of 32 feet per second, per second then you would not be able to discern this acceleration force from the force of gravity.

But for us back here on earth is this acceleration really here?

The answer is **no**. The gravitational force we feel is here but the acceleration itself is not really here but we do discern it as an acceleration.

Well, then what about this newly discovered acceleration that Saul Perlmutter's group recently discovered.

Saul Perlmutter, himself, stated that we now have Einstein's **cosmological constant** operating in this universe.

But few listened to that statement just as few listened to Einstein's statement in 1954.

If this is so then Einstein, himself, said his **cosmological constant** was a force equal but opposite to gravity holding all the stars and galaxies apart.

And if there is no actual acceleration via the force of gravity then how can there be any actual acceleration with gravity's equal and opposite force (**cosmological constant**)?

If the Newton-Einstein *principle of equivalence* is valid for one (gravity), then it must also be valid for the other (**cosmological constant**).

So what this essentially means, boys and girls, is that we must have no actual

accelerating, expanding universe but we must really be in a steady state universe exactly as that noted British astronomer Fred Hoyle claimed we had, all of his entire life.

As the *principle of equivalence* states: we can discern the acceleration but it is **not** really there.

It's the force itself that we are discerning (**cosmological constant**) and this actual equal and opposite force to gravity we most definitely can discern as the acceleration that Perlmutter's group discovered.

You can peruse all about this at: (**Click links.**) <http://www.rbduncan.com/> and at my web page <http://www.amperefitz.com> .

Thanks for taking time to read this,

Daniel P. Fitzpatrick Jr.