



The Complete **Binding Energy Model**

This universe is a simple universe to understand, once you see the Complete Binding Energy Model.

If you can only see half of the binding energy picture, such as today's scientists, then this universe will appear to be a very complicated universe..

I've shown in previous papers that any of these spinning entities we know about from quarks to galaxies will have exactly the same polar attraction as two electrons that are magnetically attracted to each other when the electrons are spinning in the same direction. (Two magnets will attract via their poles when the same poles are pointed in the same direction. *This is also a pi chemical bond.*)

These same spinning entities will always **repel** when their closest sides are spinning in opposite directions.

This tells us that attractive and repulsive forces are both **PHASE** differences.

This also tells us that Dr. Milo Wolff is right and that this is a scalar, standing wave universe.

With both magnetism and chemical bonding you get an attraction when the closest sides of the electrons are in phase with each other.

You therefore have an attraction with phase coherence. Not only does magnetic attraction and chemical bonding work this way but there is also a phase coherence attraction between the closest sides of two spin up-spin down binary stars.

Not only that but the more out of phase the closest sides of electrons are with each other then the more the repulsive force is between them.

And, as I mentioned earlier, that **above red rule** works for quarks and stars and galaxies as well.

I'm afraid that the strong force containment theory is wrong: Quarks can shift binding with similar quarks in the distant stars to cause inertial mass the same as electrons can shift binding with electrons in those same distant stars to give us star light.

Both mass and energy are therefore simply binding shifts and one can easily be converted to the other via a change in binding.

One thing more: The strength of these bonds do not vary with distance. Only the number of pairs bonding relate to the distance squared.

And as Dr. Milo Wolff discovered: This electron to electron bonding falls off abruptly at the Hubble limit.

Because the space-time realms of quarks, electrons, stars and galaxies will be at entirely different frequencies, with all of these having different surroundings, then all the forces in one realm will not be apparent in another realm yet there is a unification factor here because basically the same forces, in quantum units, exist in each of these realms of quark, electron, stars and galaxies to super clusters.

So, realistically, Einstein's unified field concept is sort of correct providing you see each of these fields as having a distinctly different basic sized set of energy quanta. The sizes of quark energy quanta are distinctly different from that of the electron. And the electron's energy quanta are at different sizes than the stars and the stars energy quanta are all at a different size from the galactic energy quanta. And the galactic energy quanta will also be different from the super cluster amount.

However, it's going to take considerable time for the majority of the world's scientists to see this
Complete Binding Model,

December 11, 2011

To read more about **PHASE** click the following links:

<http://www.amperefitz.com/phase.htm>

and <http://www.amperefitz.com/aphaseuniverse.htm>

[Daniel P. Fitzpatrick Jr.](#)

Thank you,

Have a good day & visit my site at **goodreads**:

<http://www.goodreads.com/user/show/276352>

Click ANY of these [links](#) to get what you want

You can buy my book "**Universities Asleep at the Switch**" at
Amazon.com

Or even better yet:

Read my book FREE by clicking links below:

http://www.amperefitz.com/ua_20071020_ck_ds_jm_ds.pdf (This is

the book in Adobe)

or

<http://www.amperefitz.com/unvasleep.htm> (This book [link](#) opens faster if you have dial up.)

& super popular now

<http://www.amperefitz.com/top.spot.htm>

<http://www.amperefitz.com/phase.coherence.htm>

<http://www.amperefitz.com/why.we.have.gravity.htm>

<http://www.amperefitz.com/principle-of-equivalence.htm>

<http://www.amperefitz.com/acceleratingexpandinguniverse.htm>

<http://www.amperefitz.com/einsteins.cos.c.htm>

<http://www.rbduncan.com/schrod.htm>

[Over 4 Decades of Daniel P. Fitzpatrick's Books, Papers and Thoughts](#)

Over 4 Decades of Fitzpatrick's Books, Papers & Thoughts

<http://www.amperefitz.com/4.decades.htm>